

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



PHARMACOLOGY **BIOCHEMISTRY AND BEHAVIOR** 

Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior 74 (2003) 933 – 941

www.elsevier.com/locate/pharmbiochembeh

# Effects of NMDA receptor antagonists on opioid-induced respiratory depression and acute antinociception in rats

Vincent L.H. Hoffmann<sup>a,b</sup>, Karel M. Vermeyen<sup>a</sup>, Hugo F. Adriaensen<sup>a</sup>, Theo F. Meert<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, University Hospital Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium <sup>b</sup>Johnson & Johnson PRD, CNS-Pain, Turnhoutseweg 30, B-2340, Beerse, Belgium Received 18 April 2002; received in revised form 25 September 2002; accepted 7 January 2003

#### Abstract

Although exogenous opioids alter the responses of animals to tissue-damaging stimuli and therefore are the cornerstone in the treatment of acute antinociception, they have profound side effects on ventilation. To diminish ventilatory effects, combination therapies have been advocated. Recent studies reported the effectiveness of the addition of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonists such as ketamine to morphine in the treatment of acute pain. However, NMDA receptors, together with non-NMDA receptors are known to be involved in the neurotransmission of inspiratory drive to phrenic motoneurons. Co-administration of NMDA and non-NMDA receptor antagonists has been shown to be deleterious to respiratory function. The present study investigated the hypothesis that the association of opioids and NMDA receptor antagonists may add to the impairment of respiratory parameters. In male Wistar rats, combinations of opioids (fentanyl or morphine) at antinociceptive doses and NMDA receptor antagonists (ketamine, 40 mg/kg, or dextromethorphan, 10 mg/kg) at subanesthetic doses were administered intraperitoneally. Antinociception was tested with the tail-withdrawal reaction (TWR) test, while the effect on respiratory parameters was investigated with blood-gas analysis.

We found that, in rats, co-administration of NMDA receptor antagonists and opioids may result in an increased respiratory depression as compared to the opioids alone. The effect of the NMDA receptor antagonists on opioid-induced antinociception was limited.  $© 2003 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.$ 

Keywords: NMDA receptor antagonists; Opioids; Respiratory depression; Antinociception; Tail-withdrawal reaction test

# 1. Introduction

Opioids have been known for a long time to alter animal and human responses to tissue-damaging stimuli by binding to specific opioid receptors. Binding to these receptors may, however, besides strong antinociceptive effects, give rise to several other central nervous system-related effect such as respiratory depression [\(Borison, 1977a,b\).](#page-7-0) Combinations of drugs have been proposed in an effort to increase efficacy or to diminish the occurrence of these side effects [\(Verborgh et al., 1997; Kehlet et al., 1999\).](#page-8-0)

Glutamate is the most abundant excitatory neurotransmitter in the mammalian central nervous system and its receptors are classified into N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) and non-NMDA ionotropic and metabotropic receptors

onstrated the involvement of NMDA receptors in the transmission and modulation of nociceptive information at the spinal cord level. Activation of these receptors prolongs and amplifies the response to depolarisation leading to clinical effects such as allodynia and hyperalgesia [\(Kim et al., 1997\).](#page-8-0) Moreover, opioid treatment results in an increase in protein kinase C (PKC) that subsequently activates the NMDA receptor, in this way reducing the antinociceptive effects of the opioid [\(Chen](#page-7-0) and Huang, 1992). Blocking the NMDA receptor, therefore, could enhance opioid-induced antinociception. Moreover, the concurrent administration of these drugs and opioids prevents opioid tolerance from developing [\(Elliott](#page-7-0) et al., 1994, 1995; Mao et al., 1995). This implies that NMDA receptor antagonists are drugs that potentially may provide antinociception [\(Davar et al., 1991; Yama](#page-7-0)moto and Yaksh, 1992; Coderre et al., 1993; Mao et al., 1993).

[\(Peoples and Weight, 1998\).](#page-8-0) Several authors have dem-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +32-14-603214; fax: +32-14-605944.

E-mail address: TMEERT@PRDBE.jnj.com (T.F. Meert).

Whereas  $\mu$  receptor agonists have been shown to cause respiratory depression, this may theoretically also be the case for NMDA receptor antagonists. Both NMDA and non-NMDA receptors have been shown to play a central role in the regulation of respiration [\(Foutz et al., 1989, 1994;](#page-7-0) Pierrefiche et al., 1994; Chitravanshi and Sapru, 1996). Although the impact of NMDA receptor antagonism on respiratory parameters by itself may be limited, combination of NMDA and non-NMDA receptor antagonists may cause apnea [\(Abrahams et al., 1991; Jung et al., 1991; Connelly et](#page-7-0) al., 1992). Therefore, combination of NMDA receptor antagonists with other respiratory depressant drugs such as opioids could potentially increase respiratory depression. Previous studies already hypothesized the possible respiratory depression after combinations of NMDA receptor antagonists and opioids [\(Trujillo and Akil, 1991; Vander](#page-8-0)schuren et al., 1997).

The present study investigated whether equianalgesic doses of opioids or a combination of an opioid with an NMDA receptor antagonist at subanaesthetic doses had an influence on arterial blood-gas analysis in rats. An evaluation of the possible potentiating effects of NMDA receptor antagonists on opioid antinociception was measured in the tail-withdrawal test. This test was chosen because of the existence of a good correlation between opioid potency in this test and the clinically used doses in postoperative pain [\(Meert and De Kock, 1994\).](#page-8-0) Another interesting feature was the relatively easy setup of the experiment without unnecessary excitement of the animals.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Animal preparation

After approval from the local research ethics committee, male Wistar rats (Charles River, Sulzseld, Germany) weighing  $250 \pm 10$  g were used in the experiments. Rats were housed in groups of seven in standard rodent cages for a week following arrival. They were subject to a 12-h-light/ dark cycle, a room temperature of 22  $^{\circ}$ C with background noise of 60 dB provided by a transistor radio; food and water were available ad libitum.

On the morning of the experiments, rats were weighed and then anaesthetized with etomidate 0.4 mg/kg. After skin incision, the right femoral artery was cannulated with a polyethylene catheter (PE50). The catheter was anchored and the skin was closed. The rats were then placed in a Bolman cage and left undisturbed for 3 h to recover from anaesthesia. A Bolman cage is a construction holding eight adjustable longitudinal rods arranged as a cylinder, allowing liberal movement of head, paws and tail. The metal rods of the cages were adjusted as to minimize breathing impairment. Moreover, a previous study has shown that after 2 h of habituation in Bolman cages, no antinociception was observed [\(Verborgh et al., 1998\).](#page-8-0) The femoral catheters

were kept patent by regular injections of 0.25 ml of a heparin solution (100 U/ml).

Because the large number of groups, testing was spread over several days in such a way that in every test session, rats from different groups were tested side-by-side in a blinded manner for the investigator. In this way, the influence of inter-day variability was minimized across the different treatment groups.

After each test session, rats were sacrificed by an overdose of sodium pentobarbital.

#### 2.2. Assessment of respiratory function and antinociception

After rats had recovered completely from anesthesia, arterial blood samples (0.3 ml) were taken, and a basal blood-gas analysis was performed (ABL System 610, Radiometer Medical A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). Bloodgas analysis consisted of pH,  $p_aCO_2$ ,  $p_aO_2$  and oxygen saturation measurements. Only rats with basal  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  values of less than 32 mm Hg were considered to have recovered sufficiently from anaesthesia or not to experience any negative influence of the Bolman cage. We chose to analyse blood gases because respiratory depression after exogenously administered opioids involves both reduction in tidal volume and in respiratory rate since both affect  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  values [\(Borison, 1977a,b\).](#page-7-0)

Drugs were administered intraperitoneally at  $t = 0$ . To evaluate the analgesic potential of the drugs, the tail-withdrawal reaction (TWR) test was used. In this test, the distal 5 cm of the tail were immersed in water of  $55 \pm 1$  °C and the time to withdrawal was measured to the nearest 0.1 s. A cutoff time of 10 s was adopted to minimize tissue damage. This criterion is often used to evaluate deep surgical anaesthesia [\(Janssen, 1982; Meert and De Kock, 1994\).](#page-8-0) Animals with TWR latencies of more than 3.0 s were excluded.

In this way, less than 1% of the animals with a basal TWR latency of more than 3.0 s or  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  values exceeding 32 mm Hg were excluded.

Tail-withdrawal latencies and blood gases were measured every 15 min for 1 h after the administration of the medication.

In order to exclude acute effects of TWR measurements on respiratory parameters, blood samples were taken before the measurement of TWR and stored in ice before being analysed. After each sample, 1 ml of saline containing 100 U of heparin/ml was injected via the arterial catheter to prevent clotting.

# 2.3. Drugs

Rats were randomly allocated to receive one of the following treatments (all doses in parentheses in mg/kg):

Saline (10) Morphine HCl (0.63; 2.5; 10 or 40) Fentanyl HCl (0.01; 0.04; 0.16; 0.63 or 2.5) <span id="page-2-0"></span>Ketamine HCl (2.5; 10; 40 or 80)

Dextromethorphan HCl (0.16; 0.63; 2.5; 10; 20; 40; 80 or 160)

Dextromethorphan HCl  $(10)$  + Morphine HCl  $(0.31)$ ; 0.63; 1.25 or 2.5)

Dextromethorphan HCl (10) + Fentanyl HCl (0.01, 0.04, 0.16)

Ketamine HCl (40) + Morphine HCl (0.31; 0.63; 1.25 or 2.5)

Ketamine HCl (40) + Fentanyl HCl (0.01; 0.02 or 0.04)

All drugs were dissolved in saline. Doses are expressed as the hydrochloride salts.

In total, 36 groups were formed, each containing 7 rats.

The best doses of ketamine and dextromethorphan used in the combinations were chosen on the basis of previous tests [\(Baker et al., 2002\).](#page-7-0)

# 2.4. Data analysis

Tail-withdrawal latencies were analysed using a standard 10-s cutoff criterion [\(Janssen, 1982; Meert and De Kock,](#page-8-0) 1994).

For each time point, the percentage of maximal possible effect (%MPE) was calculated according to the formula:

 $\frac{N}{N}$  [%MPE = {(TWL – TWL preinjection)

/(cutoff time  $-$  TWL preinjection)}  $\times$  100]

Based on %MPE, the areas under the curve (AUC) were calculated as follows:  $[AUC=\{(%MPE_{t1}+%MPE_{t2})/2\} \times$  $(t2 - t1)$ ] for each time interval of 15 min. In this way four AUCs were calculated that were summated to form total AUC.

For the 10-s criterion,  $ED_{50}$  values (median effective dose, dose at which 50% of the animals reached the 10-s cutoff) and 95% confidence limits were calculated according to Finney's iterative method [\(Finney, 1971\).](#page-7-0)

Likewise,  $pCO_2$ -ED<sub>50</sub> calculations were made for  $CO_2$ values of 40 mm Hg (i.e., the dose at which 50% of the animals reached a  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  of 40 mm Hg), indicating the occurrence of a significant change in respiratory depression (this is an increase of  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  of at least 25% as compared to baseline values).



Fig. 1. Dose – response curves of the percentage of maximal possible effect (%MPE) of TWR after morphine, fentanyl, ketamine, and dextromethorphan and their combinations. The same dose – response curve for saline is included in each graph as a reference.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>2.5. Statistical analysis

A one-way ANOVA for repeated measurements was used to compare %MPE and pCO<sub>2</sub>. Comparison of AUC-TWR and  $AUC-pCO<sub>2</sub>$  was done with a one-way ANOVA. The Tukey test was used for pairwise multiple comparisons.

Differences between  $ED_{50}$  values were evaluated using the Student's  $t$  test for independent samples on differences of log  $ED_{50}$  (two-tailed). The standard errors on the log  $ED_{50}$  values were obtained from the 95% confidence limits [\(Sacks, 1982\).](#page-8-0)

P values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effects on antinociception

For all drugs, the intrinsic antinociceptive properties were tested at various doses [\(Fig. 1\).](#page-2-0) With both fentanyl and morphine, we observed a clear dose – response relationship. Increasing doses led to an increasing number of animals reaching the cutoff latency of 10 s [\(Fig. 1A,B\).](#page-2-0) The  $ED_{50}$  values of fentanyl and morphine were 0.065  $(0.039 - 0.109)$  and 3.38  $(1.50 - 7.60)$  mg/kg, respectively (Table 1).

With dextromethorphan, except for 0.16 mg/kg, no significant antinociceptive effect was seen as compared to saline [\(Fig. 1C\).](#page-2-0) In contrast, increasing doses of dextromethorphan decreased tail-withdrawal latencies over time. Tail-withdrawal latencies after dextromethorphan 20 and 40 mg/kg were significantly decreased as compared to those

Table 1  $ED_{50}$  of each drug and the combinations of TWR latencies and  $pCO_2$  (CI)

Result/drug	$ED50 - TWR$ $(CI)$ (mg/kg)	$ED_{50} - pCO_2$ $(CI)$ (mg/kg)	Ratio $ED_{50}CO_{2}/$ $ED_{50}$ TWR
Morphine (M)	3.38	24.4	7.2
	$(1.50 - 7.60)$	$(14.4 - 40.7)$	
Fentanyl (F)	0.065	0.21	3.2
	$(0.039 - 0.109)$	$(0.13 - 0.35)$	
Dextrometorphan (D)			
Ketamine (K)			
$M+K$ 40	1.45	1.76	1.2
	$(0.96 - 2.18)$	$(1.17 - 2.65)^{#}$	
$M+D$ 10	1.45		
	$(0.91 - 2.29)$		
$F+K$ 40	0.023	0.031	1.3
	$(0.015 - 0.035)^*$	$(0.024 - 0.040)$ **	
$F+D$ 10	0.044	0.08	1.8
	$(0.020 - 0.099)$	$(0.04 - 0.16)^*$	

The calculated ratios indicate the potential of a drug or combination of drugs to increase  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  relatively to its analgesic effect.

\* Different from fentanyl ( $P < .05$ ).

\*\* Different from fentanyl ( $P < .01$ ).<br># Different from morphine ( $P < .05$ ).

after lower doses of dextromethorphan ( $P < .05$ ). After a dose of 160 mg/kg dextromethorphan, five out of seven animals died within 5 min. Therefore, an additional group (Dex 80 mg/kg) was included in the study. However, also in this group, five out of seven animals died. This happened due to respiratory arrest as seen by bradypnea. Cutoff values were never reached with any dose of dextromethorphan. An  $ED_{50}$  could not be calculated.

Ketamine was administered in increasing doses from 2.5 to 80 mg/kg. Statistically significant differences as compared to saline were found for doses of 10, 40, and 80 mg/ kg ketamine ( $P=.002$ ,  $P=.017$ , and  $P=.005$ , respectively) [\(Fig. 1D\).](#page-2-0) No statistical differences were found between the different ketamine doses. No  $ED_{50}$  could be calculated since TWR latencies of 10 s in more than three animals were never observed.

A dose of ketamine 40 mg/kg potentiated antinociceptive effects of 2.5 mg/kg morphine in %MPE as compared to morphine, 2.5 mg/kg, alone ( $P=013$ ). In contrast, ketamine did not potentiate morphine, 0.63 mg/kg. The combination of ketamine with morphine, 1.25 mg/kg, was equal to morphine, 2.5 mg/kg, alone ([Fig. 1A2\)](#page-2-0). The  $ED_{50}$ of morphine tended to decrease, although statistically not significant, from  $3.38$  to  $1.45$   $(0.96-2.18)$  mg/kg after adding ketamine, 40 mg/kg. Dextromethorphan in a dose of 10 mg/kg did not potentiate the different doses of morphine tested ([Fig. 1A1\)](#page-2-0). Likewise, the addition of 10 mg/kg of dextromethorphan did not significantly decrease the ED<sub>50</sub> of morphine (3.38 versus 1.45 [0.91–2.29] mg/ kg) (Table 1). Both NMDA receptor antagonists did not cause a significant leftward shift of the AUC curve of morphine  $(P>0.05)$  [\(Fig. 3A\).](#page-5-0)

Fentanyl in combination with ketamine, 40 mg/kg, did not result in improved %MPE as compared to corresponding doses of fentanyl alone ([Fig. 1B2\)](#page-2-0) (P>.05). Likewise, combinations of dextromethorphan, 10 mg/kg, with doses of fentanyl from 0.01 to 0.16 mg/kg did not result in improved antinociception as compared to corresponding doses of fentanyl alone ([Fig. 1B1\)](#page-2-0). In terms of  $ED_{50}$  for fentanyl, no effect was seen for the combination with dextromethorphan  $(P>0.05)$ , whereas with ketamine, a statistically significant decrease of the  $ED_{50}$  of fentanyl was found from  $0.065$   $(0.039-0.109)$  to  $0.023$   $(0.015-0.035)$ mg/kg ( $P < .01$ ) (Table 1). Neither 40 mg/kg ketamine nor 10 mg/kg dextromethorphan significantly potentiated the effects of corresponding doses of fentanyl over time [\(Fig.](#page-5-0) 3B1).

#### 3.2. Effects on respiratory parameters

#### 3.2.1.  $pCO_2$

Respiratory depression in the rat is particularly reflected in an initial increase in  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$ . In the present study, a clear dose – response relationship between the opioid dose (of both morphine and fentanyl) and the area under the curve of the measured  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed. In general, the higher

the opioid dose, the more animals reaching a  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  of more than 40 mm Hg (Fig. 2A,B). The  $ED_{50}$  for reaching a  $pCO_2$ of 40 mm Hg ( $pCO_2$ -ED<sub>50</sub>) was 0.21 (0.13–0.35) and 24.4 (14.4 –40.7) mg/kg for fentanyl and morphine, respectively [\(Table 1\).](#page-3-0) Also, for ketamine, there was a tendency to slowly increasing  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  values with increasing doses with significantly higher  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  values for ketamine, 80 mg/kg, than the lower doses of ketamine ( $P < .05$ ) or saline  $(P<.001)$  (Fig. 2D). A pCO<sub>2</sub>-ED<sub>50</sub> could not be calculated because after a dose of 80 mg/kg, only two out of seven animals reached 40 mm Hg. Increasing doses of dextromethorphan decreased  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  values significantly (Fig. 2C). At higher doses (80 and 160 mg/kg), five out of seven animals died within 5– 10 min because of respiratory arrest.

The combinations of morphine with 10 mg/kg dextromethorphan and 40 mg/kg ketamine, respectively, showed increases in  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  with increasing doses of the opioid (Fig. 2A1,A2). In comparison with morphine alone, the combinations with dextromethorphan and ketamine significantly increased pCO<sub>2</sub> values to the same extent ( $P < .05$ ). Adding ketamine to morphine increased the number of animals reaching 40 mm Hg  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  which resulted in a significant drop of the  $pCO<sub>2</sub> - ED<sub>50</sub>$  from 24.4 (14.4-40.7) to 1.76  $(1.17-2.65)$  mg/kg [\(Table 1\).](#page-3-0) For the combination with dextromethorphan, we were not able to calculate an  $ED_{50}$  –  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , although the number of animals reaching 40 mm Hg pCO2 was higher than with morphine alone. In terms of AUC, higher  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  levels over time were measured in the combinations with ketamine  $(P < .01)$  and dextromethorphan  $(P<.01)$  as compared to the corresponding doses of morphine alone [\(Fig. 3A\).](#page-5-0)

The combinations of fentanyl with 40 mg/kg ketamine and 10 mg/kg dextromethorphan, respectively, showed increases in  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  with increasing doses of the opioid (Fig. 2B2,B1). The combinations with fentanyl showed an important increase in the number of animals reaching the level of 40 mm Hg  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$ . This resulted in a significant decrease of  $pCO<sub>2</sub> - ED<sub>50</sub>$  for fentanyl from 0.21 (0.13 – 0.35) to  $0.031$   $(0.024 - 0.040)$  and to  $0.08$   $(0.04 - 0.16)$  mg/kg when associated with 40 mg/kg ketamine ( $P < .01$ ) and 10 mg/kg dextrometorphan ( $P < .05$ ), respectively [\(Table 1\).](#page-3-0) In terms of AUC, higher levels of  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  were measured over time in the combination with ketamine ( $P < .01$ ), whereas for dextromethorphan, no statistically significant differences were found  $(P>0.05)$  as compared to the corresponding doses of fentanyl alone [\(Fig. 3B\).](#page-5-0)



Fig. 2. Dose–response curves of the percentage of maximal possible effect (%MPE) of pCO<sub>2</sub> after morphine, fentanyl, ketamine, and dextromethorphan and their combinations. The same dose – response curve for saline is included in each graph as a reference.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

A. TWR Latencies (AUC) and pCO<sub>2</sub> (AUC) after Morphine alone and in combination with Dextrometorphan or Ketamine

B. TWR Latency (AUC) and pCO<sub>2</sub> (AUC) after Fentanyl alone and in combination with Dextrometorphan or Ketamine



Fig. 3. Effect of morphine and fentanyl and their combinations with dextromethorphan and ketamine over time on TWR latencies and pCO<sub>2</sub> as expressed by AUC.

### 3.2.2.  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  saturation

pO2 values lower than 90 mm Hg were only found at different time points in the high-dose opioid groups (morphine, 40 mg/kg; fentanyl, 0.63 and 2.50 mg/kg), and in the groups of 0.16 mg/kg fentanyl at  $t = 30$  min (pO<sub>2</sub> = 87), ketamine, 40 mg/kg, plus morphine, 2.5 mg/kg, again at  $t = 30$  min (pO<sub>2</sub> = 89.1) and ketamine, 40 mg/kg, plus fentanyl, 0.04 mg/kg, at  $t = 15$  min (pO<sub>2</sub> = 87.1).

The same applies for the measurements of oxygen saturation. Again, in the groups of high-dose opioids and in the combinations of ketamine 40 mg/kg/morphine 2.5 mg/kg at  $t = 30$  min (O<sub>2</sub> sat = 89.1) and ketamine 40 mg/kg plus fentanyl 0.04 mg/kg at  $t = 15$  min (O<sub>2</sub> sat = 87.8), a saturation < 90% was found.

## 3.3. Ratio of antinociception and respiratory depression

The  $ED_{50}$  values of each drug and the combinations of drugs for antinociception and  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  are listed in [Table 1.](#page-3-0) All drugs show a lower  $ED_{50}$  for antinociception than for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which implies that analgesia is induced at lower doses than those which induce important respiratory depression. Only

for the combination of dextromethorphan and morphine, no  $ED_{50}$ -pCO<sub>2</sub> could be calculated.

Ratios of  $ED_{50}$  for antinociception and  $pCO_2$  were calculated to make comparisons possible between the effects on respiration and antinociception. The higher the  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  –  $ED_{50}/TWR$  ratio, the better the antinociceptive effect relatively to respiratory depression. The results show that the  $ED_{50}$  ratios are lower in the combination groups as compared to the opioids alone, which means that respiratory depression is proportionally greater in the combination groups relative to the increase in analgesic potential. Comparing the ratio of morphine with the ratio of the combination with ketamine, there is a sixfold difference. A similar trend is seen for fentanyl and its combination with dextromethorphan and ketamine, although the changes are less pronounced (a 1.8- and 2.5-fold change, respectively).

The different dose ranges that we used in the opioid and combination groups may account for some of the mild shift in  $ED_{50}$  for changes in antinociception that we observed. However, the shift in  $ED_{50}$ - $CO_{2}$  is much larger, and it therefore seems unlikely that this shift can be explained by missing data points.

# 4. Discussion

The present study investigated the effects of two NMDA receptor antagonists (dextromethorphan and ketamine) on acute antinociceptive and respiratory effects of two opioids (fentanyl or morphine) in rats. Both tests are not mediated by the same mechanism and therefore measure different pathophysiological systems. Whereas the TWR test is a spinally mediated reflex, this is not the case for respiratory depression [\(Kozela et al., 2001\).](#page-8-0)

Our results demonstrate that, when administered intraperitoneally at subanesthetic doses, neither dextromethorphan nor ketamine showed a strong antinociceptive effect in the TWR test. On the other hand, both fentanyl and morphine demonstrated a clear dose – response relationship with  $ED_{50}$  of 0.065 and 3.38 mg/kg for fentanyl and morphine, respectively. These results are well in accordance with those of previous authors [\(Dambisya and Lee, 1994;](#page-7-0) Kozela et al., 2001).

When added to submaximal doses of morphine, dextromethorphan did not demonstrate a potentiating effect, whereas ketamine only marginally potentiated the antinociceptive effects of morphine. Other authors reported similar results [\(Luger et al., 1995; Joo et al., 2000; Kozela et al.,](#page-8-0) 2001). Dextromethorphan did not increase the duration of antinociceptive effect or the potency of fentanyl. With ketamine, a significant decrease in the  $ED_{50}$  of fentanyl was found, mainly due to the potentiating effect of ketamine on one particular dose of fentanyl. Our results are in accordance with those of [Smith et al. \(1985\)](#page-8-0) who described an analgesic effect of ketamine in the tail-flick test only with anaesthetic doses (>160 mg/kg). They also reported an additive effect on morphine analgesia [\(Smith et al., 1985\).](#page-8-0) Similarly to our results, [Lutfy et al. \(1997\)](#page-8-0) did not find any antinociceptive properties of NMDA receptor antagonists in the tail flick test in mice. On the other hand, other authors reported increased morphine-induced tail-flick latencies after the administration of NMDA receptor antagonists [\(Advokat and Rhein, 1995; Grass et al., 1996; Mao et al.,](#page-7-0) 1996; Plesan et al., 1998). This variability in effect of  $NMDA$  receptor antagonists on  $\mu$  antinociception in several studies may be due to methodological differences or animal strains [\(Kozela et al., 2001\).](#page-8-0) However, more specifically, several mechanisms may explain the inefficiency of NMDA receptor antagonists in the TWR test. Firstly, NMDA receptor antagonists may induce spontaneous movements of the tail in the tail-flick test by blocking NMDA receptors in the nucleus accumbens [\(Millan et al., 2000\).](#page-8-0) Secondly, the tail withdrawal response is a spinally mediated reflex with a high specificity for opioids [\(Meert and De Kock,](#page-8-0) 1994). Although ketamine has been shown to interact with various receptors [\(Hirota and Lambert, 1996\)](#page-8-0) including the  $\mu$  receptor [\(Smith et al., 1980, 1987\),](#page-8-0) the affinity of ketamine for this opioid receptor is low [\(Finck and Ngai, 1982;](#page-7-0) Klepstad et al., 1990). In several studies, ketamine increased tail-flick latencies only in anesthetic doses [\(Pekoe and](#page-8-0)

Smith, 1982; Smith et al., 1987). Thirdly, activation of opioid receptors by morphine induces phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) [\(Trapaidze et al.,](#page-8-0) 2000). Application of ketamine alone did not increase the level of MAPK phosphorylation, whereas the combination of ketamine and morphine led to a fourfold increase in MAPK phosphorylation [\(Gomes et al., 2000\).](#page-7-0) As such, there is some evidence indicating that NMDA receptor antagonists, and especially ketamine, do not exert their effects by the same route as opioids.

In the present study, doses of up to 40 mg/kg of dextromethorphan lead to a decrease in TWR latencies, possibly indicating hyperalgesia. However, a further increase of the doses caused death in five out of seven animals. In humans, high doses of dextromethorphan have been shown to induce central nervous system toxicity in children. Symptoms may include hyperexcitability, hyperreflexia, increased muscle tone, ataxia and even death [\(Pender and Parks, 1991\).](#page-8-0)

Respiratory depression after the administration of opioids may become apparent by both a decrease in tidal volume and in respiratory rate [\(Borison, 1977a,b\).](#page-7-0) Opioids act directly on brainstem respiratory centers resulting in a significant reduction of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  responsiveness. They also interfere with pontine and medullary respiratory centers that regulate respiratory rhythmicity. This results in a decrease of the slope and a displacement to the right of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ intercept that corresponds with the hypercapnea seen at rest. The fact that both rhythmicity and tidal volume are affected after the administration of opioids is an argument to use measurements of  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  as parameter to detect respiratory depression. Theoretically, hypercapnea may also be a reflection of an increase in metabolic rate. Ketamine can increase muscle tone and sympathetic tone or generate muscle spasms and spontaneous movements [\(White et al.,](#page-8-0) 1982). Moreover, it is known to increase cerebral metabolism [\(White et al., 1982\).](#page-8-0) In the present study, high doses of ketamine only marginally increased  $p_aCO_2$  levels. Moreover, the effects of dextromethorphan on opioids were comparable to those of ketamine. These observations do not support the argument that an increase in metabolic rate was responsible for the hypercapnea seen after combinations of ketamine and opioids. Therefore, our results indicate that NMDA receptor antagonists can enhance opioid-induced respiratory depression in rats.

Respiration in mammals depends on a neuronal network, the respiratory central pattern generator (CPG), which is located in the brainstem that controls the timing of inspiration and expiration. Structures outside the brainstem can modulate respiratory rhythm and pattern according to physiological changes [\(Bianchi et al., 1995\).](#page-7-0) The role of both non-NMDA and NMDA receptors in the respiratory regulation control nuclei in the medulla has been investigated recently [\(Foutz et al., 1988a,b; McManigle et al.,](#page-7-0) 1994; Chitravanshi and Sapru, 1996; Borday et al., 1998; Anderson and Speck, 1999; Krolo et al., 1999). NMDA receptors are involved in inspiratory termination and there-

<span id="page-7-0"></span>fore in the precise timing of inspiratory actions. Non-NMDA receptors and, to a lesser extent NMDA receptors, determine phrenic nerve activity [\(Liu et al., 1990; Bianchi et](#page-8-0) al., 1995). Administration of both NMDA and AMPA receptor antagonists synergistically depress respiration both in adult and in neonate mammals (Foutz et al., 1994; McManigle et al., 1994; Borday et al., 1998; Anderson and Speck, 1999; Krolo et al., 1999). Since activation of  $\mu$ receptors induces a reduction in tidal volume through reduction of glutamate-induced depolarisation [\(Zieglgans](#page-8-0)berger and Bayerl, 1976), application of combinations of NMDA receptor antagonists and opioids could theoretically aggravate each other's respiratory depressant effect. Although rats in the present study did not die after the combination of NMDA receptor antagonists and opioids, our results confirm the observations by previous authors. Both Trujillo and Vanderschuren hypothesized that the increased lethality after the combination of MK-801 and morphine occurred due to an increase of respiratory depression. [Trujillo and Akil \(1991\)](#page-8-0) described a similar behavior after high doses of morphine and after the combination of MK-801 and morphine, whereas [Vanderschuren et al.](#page-8-0) (1997) hypothesized that MK-801 not only prevented tolerance to the antinociceptive activity of opioids, but also to the sedative and respiratory depressant effects.

In the present study, the ratio of antinociception and respiratory depression was lower for the combinations of NMDA receptor antagonists and opioids as compared to the opioids alone. Since the TWR test is a spinally mediated reflex and respiration is not, the differential effect of the combinations on both systems may be due to the interaction of the drugs in different neuronal structures. However, the observation itself that NMDA receptor antagonists may aggravate opioid-induced respiratory depression does not fit with the general idea that combinations of analgesic drugs in acute pain management should improve analgesia relatively to the occurrence of side effects [\(Kehlet and Dahl,](#page-8-0) 1993; Kehlet et al., 1999).

In conclusion, NMDA receptor antagonists only offered minor additive effects to the acute antinociceptive actions of opioids in rats. In contrast, the opioid-induced respiratory depression was more pronounced when combined with dextromethorphan or ketamine.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was in part supported by a grant of the NFWO (Nationaal Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek): G.3046.94.

#### References

Abrahams TP, Hornby PJ, Walton DP, Taveira DaSilva AM, Gillis RA. An excitatory amino acid(s) in the ventrolateral medulla is (are) required for breathing to occur in the anesthetized cat. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1991; 259:1388 – 95.

- Advokat C, Rhein FQ. Potentiation of morphine-induced antinociception in acute spinal rats by the NMDA antagonist dextrorphan. Brain Res 1995;  $699:157 - 60.$
- Anderson MK, Speck DF. Differential effects of excitatory amino acid receptor antagonism in the ventral respiratory group. Brain Res 1999; 829:69 – 76.
- Baker AK, Hoffmann VL, Meert TF. Dextromethorphan and ketamine potentiate the antinociceptive effects of mu- but not delta- or kappaopioid agonists in a mouse model of acute pain. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2002;74:73 – 86.
- Bianchi AL, Denavit-Saubie M, Champagnat J. Central control of breathing in mammals: neuronal circuitry, membrane properties, and neurotransmitters. Physiol Rev 1995;75:1 – 45.
- Borday V, Foutz AS, Nordholm L, Denavit-Saubie M. Respiratory effects of glutamate receptor antagonists in neonate and adult mammals. Eur J Pharmacol 1998;348:235 – 46.
- Borison HL. Central nervous respiratory depressants-control-systems approach to respiratory depression. Pharmacol Ther B 1977a;3:211 – 26.
- Borison HL. Central nervous respiratory depressants-narcotic analgesics. Pharmacol Ther B 1977b;3:227 – 37.
- Chen L, Huang LY. Protein kinase C reduces  $Mg^{2+}$  block of NMDAreceptor channels as a mechanism of modulation. Nature 1992;356:  $521 - 3$
- Chitravanshi VC, Sapru HN. NMDA as well as non-NMDA receptors mediate the neurotransmission of inspiratory drive to phrenic motoneurons in the adult rat. Brain Res 1996;715:104 – 12.
- Coderre TJ, Katz J, Vaccarino AL, Melzack R. Contribution of central neuroplasticity to pathological pain: review of clinical and experimental evidence. Pain 1993;52:259 – 85.
- Connelly CA, Dobbins EG, Feldman JL. Pre-Botzinger complex in cats: respiratory neuronal discharge patterns. Brain Res 1992;590:337 – 40.
- Dambisya YM, Lee TL. Antinociceptive effects of ketamine opioid combinations in the mouse tail flick test. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol 1994;16:179 – 84.
- Davar G, Hama A, Deykin A, Vos B, Maciewicz R. MK-801 blocks the development of thermal hyperalgesia in a rat model of experimental painful neuropathy. Brain Res 1991;553:327 – 30.
- Elliott K, Minami N, Kolesnikov YA, Pasternak GW, Inturrisi CE. The NMDA receptor antagonists, LY274614 and MK-801, and the nitric oxide synthase inhibitor, NG-nitro-L-arginine, attenuate analgesic tolerance to the mu-opioid morphine but not to kappa opioids. Pain 1994;  $56:69 - 75.$
- Elliott K, Kest B, Man A, Kao B, Inturrisi CE. N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, mu and kappa opioid tolerance, and perspectives on new analgesic drug development. Neuropsychopharmacology 1995; 13:347 – 56.
- Finck AD, Ngai SH. Opiate receptor mediation of ketamine analgesia. Anesthesiology 1982;56:291-7.
- Finney DJ. Statistical methods in biological assay. London: Griffin Press; 1971.
- Foutz AS, Champagnat J, Denavit-Saubie M. N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors control respiratory off-switch in cat. Neurosci Lett  $1988a;87:221-6.$
- Foutz AS, Champagnat J, Denavit-Saubie M. Respiratory effects of the Nmethyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist, MK-801, in intact and vagotomized chronic cats. Eur J Pharmacol 1988b;154:179 – 84.
- Foutz AS, Champagnat J, Denavit-Saubie M. Involvement of N-methyl-Daspartate (NMDA) receptors in respiratory rhythmogenesis. Brain Res 1989;500:199 – 208.
- Foutz AS, Pierrefiche O, Denavit-Saubie M. Combined blockade of NMDA and non-NMDA receptors produces respiratory arrest in the adult cat. NeuroReport 1994:5:481-4.
- Gomes I, Gupta A, Bansinath M, Devi LA. Ketamine potentiates morphine activation of the MAPK pathway. Seattle (WA), USA: University of Michigan; 2000.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Grass S, Hoffmann O, Xu XJ, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z. N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists potentiate morphine's antinociceptive effect in the rat. Acta Physiol Scand 1996;158:269 – 73.
- Hirota K, Lambert DG. Ketamine: its mechanism(s) of action and unusual clinical uses. Br J Anaesth 1996;77:441 – 4.
- Janssen PA. Potent, new analgesics, tailor-made for different purposes. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1982;26:262 – 8.
- Joo G, Horvath G, Klimscha W, Kekesi G, Dobos I, Szikszay M, et al. The effects of ketamine and its enantiomers on the morphine- or dexmedetomidine-induced antinociception after intrathecal administration in rats. Anesthesiology 2000;93:231 – 41.
- Jung R, Bruce EN, Katona PG. Cardiorespiratory responses to glutamatergic antagonists in the caudal ventrolateral medulla of rats. Brain Res 1991;564:286 – 95.
- Kehlet H, Dahl JB. The value of "multimodal" or "balanced analgesia" in postoperative pain treatment. Anesth Analg 1993;77:1048-56.
- Kehlet H, Werner M, Perkins F. Balanced analgesia: what is it and what are its advantages in postoperative pain? Drugs 1999;58:793 – 7.
- Kim YI, Na HS, Yoon YW, Han HC, Ko KH, Hong SK. NMDA receptors are important for both mechanical and thermal allodynia from peripheral nerve injury in rats. NeuroReport 1997;8:2149-53.
- Klepstad P, Maurset A, Moberg ER, Oye I. Evidence of a role for NMDA receptors in pain perception. Eur J Pharmacol 1990;187:513 – 8.
- Kozela E, Danysz W, Popik P. Uncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonists potentiate morphine antinociception recorded from the tail but not from the hind paw in rats. Eur J Pharmacol  $2001;423:17-26$ .
- Krolo M, Stuth EA, Tonkovic-Capin M, Dogas Z, Hopp FA, McCrimmon DR, et al. Differential roles of ionotropic glutamate receptors in canine medullary inspiratory neurons of the ventral respiratory group. J Neurophysiol 1999;82:60-8.
- Liu G, Feldman JL, Smith JC. Excitatory amino acid-mediated transmission of inspiratory drive to phrenic motoneurons. J Neurophysiol 1990;64:  $423 - 36.$
- Luger TJ, Lorenz IH, Grabner-Weiss C, Hayashi T. Effect of the NMDAantagonist, MK 801, on benzodiazepine-opioid interactions at the spinal and supraspinal level in rats. Br J Pharmacol 1995;114:  $1097 - 103.$
- Lutfy K, Cai SX, Woodward RM, Weber E. Antinociceptive effects of NMDA and non-NMDA receptor antagonists in the tail flick test in mice. Pain 1997;70:31 – 40.
- Mao J, Price DD, Hayes RL, Lu J, Mayer DJ, Frenk H. Intrathecal treatment with dextrorphan or ketamine potently reduces pain-related behaviors in a rat model of peripheral mononeuropathy. Brain Res 1993;  $605:164 - 8.$
- Mao J, Price D, Mayer DJ. Mechanisms of hyperalgesia and morphine tolerance: a current view of their possible interactions. Pain 1995;62:  $259 - 74.$
- Mao J, Price DD, Caruso FS, Mayer DJ. Oral administration of dextromethorphan prevents the development of morphine tolerance and dependence in rats. Pain 1996;67:361 – 8.
- McManigle JE, Taveira DaSilva AM, Dretchen KL, Gillis RA. Potentiation of MK-801-induced breathing impairment by 2,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7 sulfamoyl-benzo( $F$ )quinoxaline. Eur J Pharmacol 1994;252:11-7.
- Meert TF, De Kock M. Potentiation of the analgesic properties of fentanyl-

like opioids with alpha 2-adrenoceptor agonists in rats. Anesthesiology 1994;81:677 – 88.

- Millan MJ, Audinot V, Honore P, Bervoets K, Veiga S, Brocco M. Blockade of NMDA receptors in the nucleus accumbens elicits spontaneous tailflicks in rats. Eur J Pharmacol 2000;388:37 – 47.
- Pekoe GM, Smith DJ. The involvement of opiate and monoaminergic neuronal systems in the analgesic effects of ketamine. Pain 1982;12:57 – 73.
- Pender ES, Parks BR. Toxicity with dextromethorphan-containing preparations: a literature review and report of two additional cases. Pediatr Emerg Care 1991;7:163-5.
- Peoples RW, Weight FF. Anesthetic actions on excitatory amino acid receptors. In: Yaksh TL, Lynch C, Zapol WM, Maze M, Biebuyck JF, Saidman LJ, et al, editors. Anesthesia-Biologic Foundations. Philadelphia (NJ): Lippincott-Raven Publishers; 1998. p. 239-58.
- Pierrefiche O, Foutz AS, Champagnat J, Denavit-Saubie M. NMDA and non-NMDA receptors may play distinct roles in timing mechanisms and transmission in the feline respiratory network. J Physiol (London) 1994; 474:509 – 23.
- Plesan A, Hedman U, Xu XJ, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z. Comparison of ketamine and dextromethorphan in potentiating the antinociceptive effect of morphine in rats. Anesth Analg 1998;86:825 – 9.
- Sacks L. Applied statistics. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1982.
- Smith DJ, Pekoe GM, Martin LL, Coalgate B. The interaction of ketamine with the opiate receptor. Life Sci 1980;26:789 – 95.
- Smith DJ, Perrotti JM, Mansell AL, Monroe PJ. Ketamine analgesia is not related to an opiate action in the periaqueductal gray region of the rat brain. Pain 1985;21:253 – 65.
- Smith DJ, Bouchal RL, deSanctis CA, Monroe PJ, Amedro JB, Perrotti JM, et al. Properties of the interaction between ketamine and opiate binding sites in vivo and in vitro. Neuropharmacology 1987;26:1253 – 60.
- Trapaidze N, Gomes I, Cvejic S, Bansinath M, Devi LA. Opioid receptor endocytosis and activation of MAP kinase pathway. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 2000;76:220 – 8.
- Trujillo KA, Akil H. The NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 increases morphine catalepsy and lethality. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1991;38:  $673 - 5.$
- Vanderschuren LJ, Schoffelmeer AN, De Vries TJ. Does dizocilpine (MK-801) inhibit the development of morphine-induced behavioural sensitization in rats? Life Sci 1997;61:427 – 33.
- Verborgh CM, Camu F, Meert TF. Interaction between sufentanil and U-50488H with respect to antinociception and respiratory depression in rats. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1997;41:895 – 902.
- Verborgh C, De Coster R, D'Haese J, Camu F, Meert TF. Effects of chlordiazepoxide on opioid-induced antinociception and respiratory depression in restrained rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1998;59:663 – 70.
- White PF, Way WL, Trevor AJ. Ketamine—its pharmacology and therapeutic uses. Anesthesiology 1982;56:119 – 36.
- Yamamoto T, Yaksh TL. Spinal pharmacology of thermal hyperesthesia induced by constriction injury of sciatic nerve. Excitatory amino acid antagonists. Pain 1992;49:121 – 8.
- Zieglgansberger W, Bayerl H. The mechanism of inhibition of neuronal activity by opiates in the spinal cord of cat. Brain Res 1976;115:  $111 - 28.$